

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,291	08/19/2003	Akihiko Taniguchi	501152.20019	4056
26418 75	90 07/01/2004		EXAMINER	
REED SMITH			FAISON, VE	ERONICA F
	IT RECORDS DEPARTN ON AVENUE, 29TH FLO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	NY 10022-7650		1755	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
			Ľ
Office Action Summany	10/643,291	TANIGUCHI ET AL.	 -
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Veronica F. Faison	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	i s
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. FOR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. FHS from the mailing date of this commu ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on		•
	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the appli			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	n and/or election requirement		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election requirement.		1
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 August 2003	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob	ected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	32.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in Ap	oplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stag	ge
application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Tinterview S	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-19-03</u>. 	O/SB/08) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Applicant uses the word "type" appended to an otherwise definite phrase (i.e. self dispersing type coloring agent). It is the Examiner's opinion that the word "type" does not further definite the term. The Examiner suggests deleting the word "type".

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4 and 7 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 9 of copending Application No. 10/387,739. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the present application overlap said published claims and would be obvious thereby.

Art Unit: 1755

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

"Products of identical chemical composition can not have mutually exclusive properties." A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 5 and 6 contains the trademark/trade name "ETHOMEEN C/15" and "ETHOMEEN S/25". Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade

Art Unit: 1755

name is used to identify/describe alkylamine ethylene oxides adduct and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koga et al (US 2003/0179268)

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Koga et al teach a water based ink comprising a surfactant represented by the following formula (1) or (2), dipropylene glycol, a colorant and water

Art Unit: 1755

(1)
$$R - N \qquad (CH_{2}CH_{2}O)_{x} - H$$

$$(CH_{2}CH_{2}O)_{y} - H$$

$$CH_{3} - (CH_{2})_{11} - O - (CH_{2}CH_{2}O)_{z} - H$$
(2)

wherein formula (1) R represents alkyl group, and x and y represent integers which satisfy x+y= 5 to 15 (abstract and page 2 para. 0015-0016). The reference further teaches that formula (1) is a polyoxyethylene alkylamine such as ETHOMEEN C/15 and ETHOMEEN \$/25 and may be present in the amount of 0.01 to 10 percent by weight (page 2 para. 00290 and page 3 para 0031). The colorant may be a water-soluble dve and pigments, wherein both organic and inorganic pigments may be used (page 3 para 0034-0035). In the examples the reference uses CAB-O-JET 300 Black as a colorant that is the same colorant as disclosed in Applicant specification as an anionic selfdispersing coloring agent. The ink composition may be used in ink jet recording apparatus comprising an ink cartridge (page 7 para. 0064). The reference remains silent to the curve, which represents a change of surface tension of the ink with respect to a concentration of the surfactant. However it is the position of the Examiner that this curve that represents a change of surface tension of the ink with respect to a concentration of the surfactant is inherent to the ink composition taught by Koga et al because Koga et al teaches the same surfactant in the same concentration. The ink composition as taught by Koga et al appears to anticipate the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1755

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sago et al (US 2003/0174193)

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Sago et al teach a water base ink composition comprising an amine compound represented by a specified structural formula, a surfactant having a specified structural formula, a coloring agent and water (abstract and page 1 para. 0010). The reference further teaches that the surfactant may have structure of the following:

$$R^1$$
—N $(CH_2CH_2O)_x$ —H $(CH_2CH_2O)_y$ —H

wherein R¹ represents alkyl group and x and y are integers to satisfy x+y= 5 to 15 (col. 1 para. 00112-page 2 para. 0013 and page 3 para. 0027-0028). ETHOMEEN C/15 and ETHOMEEN S/25 are disclosed as examples of polyoxyethylene alkylamine represented by the formula above (page 3 para. 0029) which may be present in the amount of 0.01 to 10 percent by weight (page 3 para. 0034). The reference discloses that any dye or pigment may be present in the ink composition including self-modified pigment such as CAB-O-JET 300 which Applicant has disclosed as an anionic self-

Art Unit: 1755

dispersing pigment (page 3 para. 0035-page 4 para. 0039). The reference remains silent to the curve, which represents a change of surface tension of the ink with respect to a concentration of the surfactant. However it is the position of the Examiner that this curve that represents a change of surface tension of the ink with respect to a concentration of the surfactant is inherent to the ink composition taught by Sago et al because Sago et al teaches the same surfactant in the same concentration. The ink composition as taught by Sago et al appears to anticipate the claimed invention.

Conclusion

The remaining references listed on forms 892 and 1449 have been reviewed by the Examiner and are considered to be cumulative to or less material than the prior art references relied upon in the above rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica F. Faison whose telephone number is 571-272-1366. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 26, 2004